



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,969	04/11/2001	Michael L. Obradovich	42254/DMC/C685	3844	
23363	7590 07/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			NGUYE	NGUYEN, LEE	
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA.	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2618		
	Γ		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/833,969	OBRADOVICH, MICHAEL L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LEE NGUYEN	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ap	oril 2006						
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E			· monto io				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 3-5 7-15 and 18-28 is/are pending in t	he annlication						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,7-15 and 18-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,10-12 and 18-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,7-9,13-15,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	cicotion requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)				
							

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the communication filed 04/03/2006. Claims 1-2, 6, 16-17, have been canceled. Claims 3-5, 7-15, 18-28 remain in prosecution. Claims 4, 10-12, 18-26 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger et al. (US 6,377,810) in view of Havinis et al. (US 6,360,102).

Regarding claim 3, Geiger teaches a method of providing contact information regarding a user, the method comprising: allocating a user-specific space in memory 21 accessible over a computer network 22 to a specific user 15-17 (figs. 1, 4); associating a mobile communication device with the user (col. 2, 32-38); determining a location of the user by receiving location information provided by a mobile communication device (col. 2, 32-38); storing data indicative of the location of the user in the use-specific

space (col. 2, 32-38); receiving an access list of possible requesters of the data in the user-specific space and providing the data indicative of the location of the user to possible requesters on the access list (col. 4, lines 26-40 and lines 57-66). Geiger also teaches receiving, from the user, additionally data regarding the user (see attribute certificate provided to the server by the mobile device, col. 5, lines 3-13); storing the additional data regarding the user in the user-specific space, (certificate attributes including time constrain and specific geographic regions where requester is allowed to access, col. 5, lines 3-56) and providing the data indicative the additional data regarding the user to possible requesters on the access list (col. 5, lines 56-59). Geiger differs from the claimed invention in that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger, Havinis teaches that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger (abstract, col. 4, lines 5-10, col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 4)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine Havinis with Geiger in order to allow the user to define a location privacy profile.

Claims 5, 7-9, 13-15 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger et al. (US 6,377,810) in view of Strunk et al. (US 2002/0068551) and Havinis et al. (US 6,360,102).

Regarding claim 5, Geiger teaches a location relevant server system comprising: a personal communication device (PCD) 15-17 (figs. 1 and 4) comprising a GPS receiver 17 and wireless communication capability (transceiver); a GPS server 20 receiving information indicating a location and unit identifier associated with the PCD (col. 2, 17-44); the GPS server 20 providing the PCD location and the unique identifier associated with the PCD to an application server 21 (col. 2, 38-44); the application server 21 configured to execute a program upon receiving the location and the unique identifier information associated with the PCD to a update a user specific data space with a current location and the unique identifier associated with the PCD (col. 2, 38-44). col. 4, 41-44); the application server 21 further configure to allow different users different access to the application server 21 based on the identity of a user (col. 2, 38-44 and col. 4, 40-44). Geiger fails to teach that the application server is further configured to store received from and information concerning an individual associated with the PCD in the user specific data space. Strunk teaches that data concerning establishment of communication with the mobile communication device such as contact information email, fax, individuals can be stored in a contact database, which are provided by the user (see [0006] through [0019], it is noted that the user provides his contact information to stations 100, 101...N so that the contact database 20 can be obtained said contact information from stations 100, 101, ...N). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine Strunk with Geiger so that the caller can contact the callee via alternate means. Geiger also teaches that the stored information being related to the location of the user

(certificate attributes including time constrain and specific geographic regions where requester is allowed to access, col. 5, lines 3-56). Geiger also differs from the claimed invention in that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger, Havinis teaches that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger (abstract, col. 4, lines 5-10, col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 4)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine Havinis with Geiger in order to allow the user to define a location privacy profile.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the application server provide information concerning the individual to a requester (see [0009] of Strunk).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the application server is configured to modify data in the user specific data space (col. 4, lines 41-44 of Geiger).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches contact information regarding the individual (see [0017] of Strunk).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the GPS server 20 is configured to send PCD locations and identifiers to the application server 21 (col. 2, 38-44 of Geiger).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the application server is configured to provide different information concerning the individual to the different requesters (see [0011] and [0017] of Strunk).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the user specific data space stores contact information regarding the user associated with the PCD (see [0017] of Strunk).

Regarding claims 27-28, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches the phone, fax and e-mail information (see [0006], [0007] and [0009] of Strunk).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 5, 7-9, 13-15 and 27-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/833,969

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2618

 $\S~706.07(a).$ Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

Page 7

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7854. The examiner can normally be reached on FIRST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDERSON D. MATTHEW can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/833,969

Art Unit: 2618

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.